UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/578,895	06/08/2007	Carl R. Towns	29610/CDT498	3448
	7590 10/14/201 GERSTEIN & BORUN	EXAMINER		
•	ACKER DRIVE	CROUSE, BRETT ALAN		
CHICAGO, IL			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1786	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/14/2011	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mgbdocket@marshallip.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/578,895	TOWNS ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
BRETT A. CROUSE	1786	

		BRETT A. CROUSE	1786	
The MAILING DATE of	his communication appea	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 15 September 2	011 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final this application, applicant mus places the application in condi 	rejection, but prior to or on t timely file one of the follow tion for allowance; (2) a Not		Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 C	ce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
 a)	atutory period for reply expire la	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejection	on.
	AL REJECTION. See MPEP 70 or 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of determining the period of extraction date of the slipply received by the Office later	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply orig	136(a) and the appropria of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	te extension fee ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
	CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be ision thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to within the time period set forth in 3	avoid dismissal of th	
3. The proposed amendment(s) (a) They raise new issues the (b) They raise the issue of not (c) They are not deemed to appeal; and/or	at would require further con ew matter (see NOTE below place the application in bett	er form for appeal by materially re	TE below);	
	CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). ompliance with 37 CFR 1.12			(PTOL-324).
		owable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	nt canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the property how the new or amended claim. The status of the claim(s) is (or Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: _1-4. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration.	ns would be rejected is prov r will be) as follows:		II be entered and an e	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
 The affidavit or other evidence because applicant failed to prowas not earlier presented. See 	vide a showing of good and	before or on the date of filing a N I sufficient reasons why the affidat	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> rit or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and
showing a good and sufficient	or other evidence failed to over reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a).
 The affidavit or other evidenc REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION 	·	of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ied.
11. 🛮 The request for reconsideration See Continuation Sheet.		does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information13. ☐ Other:	n Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Jennifer A Chriss/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, A	rt Unit 1786	/BRETT A. CROUSE/ Examiner, Art Unit 1786		

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Applicant argues the prior art references are not enabled and has submitted declarations on 4/8/2011 and 9/15/2011. The declarations are directed to the treatment of a silole with NBS.

Applicant's arguments are not persuasive as Kobayashi teaches in paragraphs [0129] through [0135] various reagents which provide halogens to the dibenzylsilole rings in the location(s) as contemplated by applicant. Additionally, Kreuder which is cited as further evidence, teaches in example 11 the bromination of silabifluorene. The silabifluorene can be di- or tetra- brominated depending on the stoichiometry.